

6th German Oral Health Study (DMS • 6): rationale, study design and baseline characteristics. Online Appendix

AUTHORS

Prof. Dr. A. Rainer Jordan, Institut der Deutschen Zahnärzte (IDZ)
 Dr. Nicolas Frenzel Baudisch, infas Institut für angewandte Sozialwissenschaft
 Cristiana Ohm, Institut der Deutschen Zahnärzte (IDZ)
 Fabian Zimmermann, Institut der Deutschen Zahnärzte (IDZ)
 Dominic Sasunna, Institut der Deutschen Zahnärzte (IDZ)
 Dr. Constanze Cholmakow-Bodechtel, Oracle Life Sciences
 Marvin Krämer, Oracle Life Sciences
 Dr. Kathrin Kuhr, Institut der Deutschen Zahnärzte (IDZ)

ABSTRACT

Objectives: With the First German Oral Health Study (DMS I) in 1989, the Institut der Deutschen Zahnärzte (IDZ) laid the foundation for a population-representative socioepidemiologic monitoring of oral health and care status in Germany. The objective of the sixth wave of the survey was to update the status of oral health.

Research questions: The primary questions address cross-sectional data: 1. What are the current prevalence rates of oral diseases? 2. What associations exist between oral health and other participant characteristics? The third question is based on the comparison of cross-sectional data with previous German oral health studies (trend): 3. How has the oral health and care status in Germany developed from 1989 to 2023? The last two questions require longitudinal data: 4. How do oral diseases change over the course of a lifetime? 5. What individual characteristics influence the progression of (new) oral diseases?

Study design: The DMS • 6 is a combined cross-sectional and cohort study and therefore classified as an observational study.

Study participants: The age groups for the cross-sectional study were selected following the World Health Organization (WHO) recommendations for oral epidemiologic studies. These include 12-year-olds as representatives for younger adolescents, 35- to 44-year-olds for younger adults, and 65- to 74-year-olds for younger seniors. An additional age group of 8- and 9-year-olds (younger children) was included to obtain information on oral health during the mixed dentition phase. In total, 3,377 study participants were included in the analyses for the cross-sectional questions (prevalences). Participant characteristics provide insights into their sociodemographic and behavioral parameters.

KEYWORDS: cross-sectional studies, dental care, dental health surveys, dentists, DMS 6, epidemiology, Germany, oral health, prevalence, research design

Table of contents

Appendix 1: Sample Size Planning..... 3

Appendix 2: Quality-neutral and systematic dropouts 6

Authors 8

Impressum 9

Appendix 1

Sample size planning

The sample size of the cross-sectional arm of the DMS • 6 should be sufficient for answering the cross-sectional questions about the current prevalence of oral diseases in Germany. On the other hand, consideration should be given to ensuring that sufficient study participants can also be invited for a possible follow-up survey (DMS • 7), for example, in 2030. The original sample size planning for the groups of younger adolescents, younger adults and younger senior citizens was adjusted after 60% of the points had been completed since the sample size realised up to this point had fallen far short of the expected sample size and a successful conclusion of the study could not otherwise be guaranteed. The adjusted sample size planning is described below.

Cross-section

It should be ensured that the standard error of the prevalence is a maximum of 10% of the prevalence itself in order to obtain a reliable estimate of the prevalence (1). The ratio of standard error of prevalence to prevalence is referred to here as precision. It is expressed using confidence intervals (high precision = narrow confidence intervals).

Younger children (8- and 9-year-olds)

The estimation of the prevalence of dental and jaw misalignments in the three categories KIG 1, KIG 2 and KIG 3-5 was of primary interest. A 1999 clinical-epidemiological study of 226 schoolchildren in years 4 and 5 (9- to 13-year-olds) reported KIG 1 in 13.8% of cases, KIG 2 in 34.6% and KIG 3-5 in 51.6% (National Association of Statutory Health Insurance Dentists' (KZBV) own data, not published). Further epidemiological studies of primary school pupils have revealed percentages ranging from 54% to 59% for KIG 1-2 and from 41% to 46% for KIG 3-5 (2, 3). This data always related to children with at least KIG 1; no account was taken of children with eugathic dentition.

A prevalence of 13% for KIG 1 was assumed for sample size planning based on the published data. 670 participants are needed in order to estimate an expected prevalence of 13% at a confidence level of 95% with a standard error of 1.3% (precision 10%).

The following results can be achieved with a sample size of 670 participants:

- Prevalence 13%: 95% confidence interval 10.5-15.5% (precision 10%)
- Prevalence 35%: 95% confidence interval 31.4–38.6% (precision 5.3%)
- Prevalence 50%: 95% confidence interval 46.2–53.8% (precision 3.9%)

Younger adolescents (12-year-olds) and younger adults (35- to 44-year-olds)

Unlike in the group of younger children, no primary endpoint was relevant for sample size planning in the group of younger adolescents and younger adults. In fact, it should be possible to make reliable estimates of the prevalence of all oral diseases of interest for these age groups. 900 participants per age group were to be included in the study so that even low prevalences of 10% could be estimated with a confidence level of 95% and a precision of 10%.

The following results can be achieved with a sample size of 900 participants:

- Prevalence 10%: 95% confidence interval 8.2–12.1% (precision 10.0%)
- Prevalence 30%: 95% confidence interval 27.1–33.1% (precision 5.1%)
- Prevalence 50%: 95% confidence interval 46.7–53.3% (precision 3.3%)

Younger seniors (65- to 74-year-olds)

Periodontal disease and edentulism were the main diseases among 65- to 74-year-olds. The estimated prevalences as per DMS V were 12.4% for edentulism, 19.8% for severe periodontal disease according to the CDC/AAP classification and 24.6% for severe periodontal disease according to the Community Periodontal Index (4). 750 senior citizens should be included in the study so that a prevalence of 12% can be estimated at a confidence level of 95% with a maximum precision of 10%.

The following results can be achieved with a sample size of 750 participants:

- Prevalence 12%: 95% confidence interval 9.9–14.5% (precision 9.9%)
- Prevalence 30%: 95% confidence interval 26.8–33.4% (precision 5.6%)
- Prevalence 50%: 95% confidence interval 46.4–53.6% (precision 3.7%)

Planned re-survey

The younger children and the younger adults were included in a panel for a potential re-survey in around 2030. The sample size calculations are based on panel data of the DMS V. The assumption was made that 95% of participants fulfil the inclusion criteria for inclusion in the panel. Assuming an annual lost-to-follow-up rate of 3% and a response rate of 50% and 70%, respectively, the estimated gross sample size for the group of younger children, calculated on the basis of the planned 670 participants in 2021, was 483 available persons in 2030 and an estimated net sample size of 241 (483×0.5) and 338 (483×0.7) persons respectively who would actually participate in the re-survey. For the younger adults, an estimated gross sample size of $n = 670$ in 2030, as well as an estimated

net sample size of $n = 335$ (670×0.5) and $n = 469$ (670×0.7), were calculated based on 900 people in 2022.

The calculations were conducted with R-Version 3.5.3, using the package "samplingbook", function "sample.size.prob".

Literature

1. Turner AG. Sampling strategies; 2003 [cited 2024 Sep 30]. Available from: URL: https://unstats.un.org/unsd/demographic/meetings/egm/Sampling_1203/docs/no_2.pdf.
2. Assimakopoulou T. Evaluierung der Prävalenzrate bei 9- bis 10-jährigen Probanden nach den Kieferorthopädischen Indikationsgruppen (KIG) [Dissertation]. Münster: Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität; 2004.
3. Glasl B, Ludwig B, Schopf P. Prevalence and development of KIG-relevant symptoms in primary school students from Frankfurt am Main. *Fortschritte der Kieferorthopädie (J Orofac Orthop)* 2006; 67(6):414–23.
4. Kocher T, Holtfreter B. Krankheits- und Versorgungsprävalenzen bei jüngeren Senioren (65- bis 74-Jährige). Parodontalerkrankungen. In: Jordan AR, Micheelis W, editors. *Fünfte Deutsche Mundgesundheitsstudie (DMS V)*. Cologne: Deutscher Zahnärzte Verlag DÄV; 2016. p. 396–415 (IDZ Material Series; Vol. 35).

Appendix 2

Table A2.1 – Quality-neutral dropouts

Quality-neutral dropouts										
Reason for dropout	Total		8– and 9-year-olds		12-year-olds		35– to 44-year-olds		65– to 74-year-olds	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Moved, no longer residing at the household address	543	34,9%	28	21,1%	74	27,6%	364	50,6%	77	17,7%
Unavailable due to acute illness	272	17,5%	37	27,8%	78	29,1%	64	8,9%	93	21,3%
Unable to locate address	271	17,4%	33	24,8%	71	26,5%	140	19,4%	27	6,2%
Unavailable due to chronic illness, physical, or mental disability	164	10,5%	10	7,5%	10	3,7%	19	2,6%	125	28,7%
Not proficient in the German language	214	13,7%	14	10,5%	30	11,2%	121	16,8%	49	11,2%
Deceased	29	1,9%	0	0,0%	2	0,7%	3	0,4%	24	5,5%
Unavailable due to hospitalization	28	1,8%	0	0,0%	0	0,0%	4	0,6%	24	5,5%
Unavailable due to participation in rehabilitation	17	1,1%	0	0,0%	1	0,4%	3	0,4%	13	3,0%
Unavailable due to quarantine during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic (not personally infected)	19	1,2%	11	8,3%	2	0,7%	2	0,3%	4	0,9%
Total	1557	100	133	100	268	100	720	100	436	100

Table A2.2 – Systematic dropouts

Systematic dropouts										
	Total		8– and 9-year-olds		12-year-olds		35– to 44-year-olds		65– to 74-year-olds	
Reason for dropout	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Never reached, fewer than 3 contact attempts	3551	37,7%	234	22,4%	831	44,3%	1599	44,0%	887	31,1%
No information about the contact person, never reached	1658	17,6%	211	20,2%	330	17,6%	713	19,6%	404	14,2%
No willingness to participate, no reason provided	1687	17,9%	179	17,1%	281	15,0%	487	13,4%	740	25,9%
No willingness to participate due to lack of time	517	5,5%	50	4,8%	86	4,6%	286	7,9%	95	3,3%
Strict refusal to participate, no reason provided, total refusal	492	5,2%	65	6,2%	66	3,5%	168	4,6%	193	6,8%
On vacation/traveling, unavailable until	266	2,8%	3	0,3%	18	1,0%	78	2,1%	167	5,9%
Unavailable due to other reasons	249	2,6%	12	1,1%	60	3,2%	86	2,4%	91	3,2%
No willingness to participate due to other reasons	250	2,7%	0	0,0%	91	4,9%	55	1,5%	104	3,6%
Respondent did not appear for the scheduled appointment, no information provided regarding reasons	275	2,9%	79	7,6%	70	3,7%	84	2,3%	42	1,5%
No willingness to participate, not convinced of the purpose or value	155	1,6%	42	4,0%	20	1,1%	26	0,7%	67	2,3%
Strict refusal to participate due to privacy concerns	80	0,9%	13	1,2%	15	0,8%	22	0,6%	30	1,1%
Never directly reached the contact person/guardian (other contact person)	57	0,6%	0	0,0%	3	0,2%	28	0,8%	26	0,9%
Refusal due to concerns related to the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic	160	1,7%	151	14,4%	2	0,1%	4	0,1%	3	0,1%
All appointments taken/waiting list	6	0,1%	0	0,0%	1	0,1%	2	0,1%	3	0,1%
Respondent or research team terminated the study	7	0,1%	6	0,6%	1	0,1%	0	0,0%	0	0,0%
Total	9410	100	1045	100	1875	100	3638	100	2852	100

AUTHORS

Prof. Dr. med. dent. A. Rainer Jordan, MSc

Institut der Deutschen Zahnärzte (IDZ),
Universitätsstraße 73, 50931 Cologne, Germany

Dr. rer. pol. Nicolas Frenzel Baudisch

infas Institut für angewandte Sozialwissenschaft
53284 Bonn, Germany

Cristiana Ohm, MA

Institut der Deutschen Zahnärzte (IDZ),
Universitätsstraße 73, 50931 Cologne, Germany

Fabian Zimmermann, MA

Institut der Deutschen Zahnärzte (IDZ),
Universitätsstraße 73, 50931 Cologne, Germany

Dominic Sasunna

Institut der Deutschen Zahnärzte (IDZ),
Universitätsstraße 73, 50931 Cologne, Germany

Dr. oec. Troph. Constanze Cholmakow-Bodechtel, MPH

Oracle Life Sciences
Landsberger Str. 284, 80687 Munich, Germany

Marvin Krämer, MSc

Oracle Life Sciences
Landsberger Str. 284, 80687 Munich, Germany

Dr. rer. medic. Kathrin Kuhr

Institut der Deutschen Zahnärzte (IDZ),
Universitätsstraße 73, 50931 Cologne, Germany

CITATION

Jordan, A. R., Frenzel Baudisch, N., Ohm, C., Zimmermann, F., Sasunna, D., Cholmakow-Bodechtel, C., Krämer, M., Kuhr, K.: 6th German Oral Health Study (DMS • 6): rationale, study design and baseline characteristics – Online Appendix. Zahnmed Forsch Versorg 2025, 5: 1, <http://dx.doi.org/10.23786/2025-5-1>

PUBLICATION DATE

17.03.2025

IMPRESSUM

ZAHNMEDIZIN, FORSCHUNG UND VERSORGUNG

Das Online-Journal des Instituts der Deutschen Zahnärzte (IDZ)



ISSN

0931-9816

PUBLISHER

Institute of German Dentists (Institut der Deutschen Zahnärzte (IDZ)),

Universitätsstraße 73, 50931 Cologne

Funded by

German Dental Association (Bundeszahnärztekammer – Arbeitsgemeinschaft der deutschen Zahnärztekammern e. V. (BZÄK))

and National Association of Statutory Health Insurance Dentists (Kassenzahnärztliche Bundesvereinigung K. d. ö. R.)

EDITORIAL OFFICE

Prof. Dr. A. Rainer Jordan

Institute of German Dentists

Universitätsstraße 73

50931 Cologne

Phone: +49 221 4001-142

Fax: +49 221 4001-152

Web: www.idz.institute

E-Mail: dms6@idz.institute